

SUMMARY OF THE OFFICE ACTION

1. Claims 1-6 and 9-16 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Purton (WO 00/51076).
2. Claims 7 and 8 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Purton in view of Breeding (US 2002/0063389).

RESPONSE TO THE REJECTIONS

1. Claims 1-6 and 9-16 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as Anticipated by Purton (WO 00/51076).

Claims 1-12 have been cancelled.

Only method claims remain, and those claims are directed towards hgand reading.
This is not a function or step taught by Purton.

2. Claims 7 and 8 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Purton in view of Breeding (US 2002/0063389).

This rejection is moot.

CONCLUSION

The amended and new claims are in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Attila Grauzer et al.

By Their Representatives,

MARK A. LITMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.A.

York Business Center, Suite 205

3209 West 76th Street

Edina, Minnesota 55435

(952) 832-9090

Date: 22 March 2006

By: _____



Mark A. Litman
Reg. No. 26,390